



Lisbon, Portugal, 21st October 2015

ICT 2015 Networking Event on Augmented Cognition



Introduction

Technology has always had a direct impact on how and what humans remember as it can radically change the nature and scale of the cues that we can preserve outside our own memory in order to trigger recall. Recent technology advances mean that the time is ripe to attempt the creation of memory augmentation technology that provides the user with the experience of an extended and enhanced memory, but which is based on improvements in the collection, mining, and presentation of information to facilitate memory recall.

In October 2015 we organised a networking session at ICT 2015 in Lisbon to help promote scientific exploration of this topic in Europe. In this report we describe the activities of the event and highlight the key findings.

We would like to thank all of the participants who actively contributed to make the session an outstanding success. We hope that this report does justice to their input.

Prof. Nigel Davies, Prof. Marc Langheinrich,
Prof Albrecht Schmidt and Dr. Sarah Clinch



reccu


reccu



ng Human

Session

om



Attendees

- Andrea Gaggioli, Catholic University of Milan
- Andreas Emrich, DFKI
- Anne-Mette Nortuig, Aalborg University Copenhagen
- Atta Badii, University of Reading
- Cisar Carrion, AIJU
- Daniel Vander Vorst, Vicomtech
- David Coyle, University College Dublin
- Eirini Eleni Tsiropoulou, National Technical University of Athens
- Eduardo Bacelar Pinto, Universidade do Minho
- Evangelos Niforatos, Università della Svizzera Italiana
- Fabio Paternò, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR)
- Filipa Ferrada, Uninova-Instituto Desenvolvimento De Novas Tecnologias
- Francisco Alvarez, Universidade Nacional de Educação à Distância
- George Lekakos, Athens University of Economics and Business
- Gerard Kennedy, Enterprise Ireland
- Guido Herrmann, University of Bristol
- GülayBüyükkaksoy Kaplan, Tübitak Bilgem
- Hanna Halbroth, University of Duisburg-Essen
- Isabel Pina, NOVA IMS Information Management School
- João Carlos Lopes Batista, Universidade de Aveiro
- Jordi Fernandez, Ywarp
- Julien Torrent, Fondation Suisse Pour Les Téléthèses
- Katerina El Raheb, University of Athens
- Marc Langheinrich, Università della Svizzera Italiana
- Mario Bazzani, Istituto Superiore Mario Boella
- Mario Chiesa, Istituto Superiore Mario Boella
- Matt Roach, Swansea University
- Michail N. Giannakos, Norwegian University of Science and Technology
- Mihalis Doulas, Queens University Belfast
- Monica Pedro, UL
- Nelson Alves, Centro De Computacao Grafica
- Nigel Davies, Lancaster University
- Nikolopoulos Spiros, CERTH
- Nicolas Chiquet, Life Plus
- Paolo Giuliadori, University of Camerino
- Passant El.Agroudy, University of Stuttgart
- Pedro Omedas, Universitat Pompeu Fabra
- Peter Matthews, CA Council for Technical Excellence
- Richard Millwood, Trinity College Dublin
- Saira Saleem Pathan, Fraunhofer Institute for Factory Operation and Automation IFF
- Santi Fort, Eurecat Technology Centre of Catalonia
- Sarah Clinch, Lancaster University
- Stefano Bromuri, University of Applied Sciences Western Switzerland
- Tilman Dingler, University of Stuttgart
- Timo Ylikongas, Kainuun ETU
- Tincuta Heinzl, University of Architecture Bucharest



An Interactive Workshop

Our networking session was organised as a highly interactive event designed to promote interaction and foster new collaborations.

Following a brief scene-setting presentation from Prof. Nigel Davies attendees were posed three challenges:

- (I) working individually to identify one or more small areas of research (e.g. an individual PhD thesis) that they would like to conduct in the field,
- (II) working in groups of three or four people, collaboratively outline a possible EU project in the area, and,
- (III) working in groups of eight to ten people create a ten year mission statement for a possible institute in the field.

The level of discussion was intense with numerous ideas flowing throughout the session. The feedback on the event was overwhelmingly positive with everyone agreeing that they had successfully networked with new people.





Activity One: PhD Project Ideas

In the first activity every participant was asked to write down an idea for a potential small research initiative such as a PhD thesis topic.

Several themes emerged. One strong focus was on identifying domains for augmented cognition, e.g., ageing, autism, but also in business contexts.

Another set of ideas revolved around visualisation and controlling memories - understanding what needs to be visualised, how it is visualised, and how this can be used to control one's memories. Of particular interest was also the exploration of alternative cues, e.g., smell, touch, and emotions. The full list of thesis ideas is given in Appendix A.

Personalized
Social
Augmented
Reality

'Mediating
between
in-memory and
out-memory'
visualizing todos
and augmenting
memory

What people
think about?
~~thoughts~~
Human memories
documentation
for 'memories'
analysis

Intelligence
at the Edge
Saim Rahman

Augmenting cognition for
evolutionary cognitive level

Erasing traumatic memories
affecting the personality

"Dealing with emotional
cues"

→ Using emotional cues
to help people suffering
from Alzheimer's

Controlling the
Augmentation
tagging, valuing
and forgetting

Support decision making
in real time via data
Visualize of complex info
sets

AGE RELATED CHANGES
IN THE POSITIVE
AFFECT USING
AUGMENTED COGNITION

fine
psychology
semantic search interface

THE PROXY M.I.T. PROJECT
SOCIAL AND CULTURAL
MEMORY LANE OF
NATIONS

BEHAVIOURAL
CHANGES INTO

What is
memory and
memory

Cross-context/
mode,
Cross-media
situation
Cognition

Shared memories
reflection

How can
we measure
Capacity

Developing a
way to make
Type C

And how it's
Augmenting cognition in
person with intellectual
disabilities, especially
autism, using novel ICT
Institute with 10 years from
also research - education, health factors

How to use this case

→ SMALL
→ Scaled.

→ LINES GELASKE
KASLI GELASKE

Heart plan further
work

BLOGGING
THE
UNCONSCIOUS
TO

Improving customer
service and sales
with more accurate
recall of customer
data

Affect - how I
feel about the
presentation of
my memories

Investigate how
memories (cognition)
correlates with
stress and how
it could be used
to facilitate in
diseases like
Alzheimer.

HELPING THE
ELDERLY TO
LIVE LONGER
AT HOME
(INDEPENDENT LIVING)

Brain in the computer
10 years Singularity

Help aging people living
better by preventing falls
with exercises physical
and mental (senses like smell
and sound) Nicolas Chiprut

Supporting and
making decisions

How BRAIN LEARN
(OR FORGET) HABITS

Augmenting Professional
Relationships
- LinkedIn / Facebook
ResearchGate?
What's missing?

Context-aware
augmented cognition

Augmenting Human Memory
through multimodal
interaction



Activity Two: EU Project Ideas

After forming groups of three to four people, participants jointly identified common interests within the group and came up with “EU Proposals” - short project ideas in the space that they described using challenges and outcomes.

Examples of these proposal ideas included “Augmented Cognition to Improve (Business) Relationships”, where human memory would be augmented to help people connect and stay in touch - both professionally and for private relationships. One participant motivated the idea as follows: “people with a good memory are better in business - what can we do to ‘level the playing field’ so that people with not so good memory can equally excel?” This was also motivated by one participant’s observation that software “robots” are increasingly taking over semi-skilled jobs, and that such a project may help humans improve their cognitive skills to have better job prospects.



Activity Two ... contd.

A second proposal centered on “Memory Analytics” - the challenge of “materializing memories”, as one of the group members responsible for the idea explained: “once we can visualize our memories, we lay the foundation for better analyzing and hence understanding our memories”.

A third project idea was called “Collective Sensory Augmentation”, where research would focus on the best way to capture a wide variety of sensors - accurately but more importantly unconsciously.



Activity Three: Centres

In the final step, pairs of groups joined to form new teams of eight to ten participants and tried to coalesce their ideas so far into long-term, large-size “Research Centres” with an 8-10 year horizon. We captured four ideas for such centres. Firstly, a “Centre for Multidimensional Cognition” would look at understanding the challenges and requirements for capturing a situation - both from a physical perspective and a cognitive context. This would involve properly identifying how different media can be captured and used in this process: from visual to audio to touch and smell. Such capture and replay processes would also need to be custom-tailored to individuals, groups, and cultures.

A second idea for a centre was called “Decision-making technology for rehabilitation and healthcare”. Apart from a particular domain focus, the idea was also to more actively explore alternative media, such as smell and touch, and, additionally, music as a particularly interesting type of audio capture.

The third idea could be described as a “Centre for enhancing ‘wetware’ memory”, with which participants wanted to attempt to measurably improve the actual human memory capacity, rather than relying on external tools (e.g., an electronic agenda) for in-situ recall. This is very similar to the vision currently being explored as part of the on-going RECALL FET-OPEN project. Of particular interest to the participants suggesting this third idea was the act of sharing memories: “it is really about enhancing collective cognition, not just for a single individual”, as one group member explained. Finally a centre for “Augmenting Human Relations” was proposed which would focus on enhancing both personal and professional relationships.





Additional Resources

In September 2014, RECALL project members Nigel Davies, Marc Langheinrich, and Albrecht Schmidt, together with Prof. Mark Billinghurst from the Univ. of Canterbury, NZ, organised a Dagstuhl Seminar on "Augmenting Human Memory – Capture and Recall in the Era of Lifelogging". The seminar brought together researchers from a wide range of computing disciplines, such as mobile and pervasive computing, privacy and security, social computing and ethnography, usability, and systems research, as well as related disciplines such as psychology and economics, in order to discuss how recent trends in capture, storage, and display technology are changing our existing research on capture and playback technologies, privacy and society, and existing theories of memory. The seminar homepage can be found at <http://www.dagstuhl.de/14362>, which includes not only a full list of participants but also a detailed report (<http://dx.doi.org/10.4230/DagRep.4.8.151>).

Security and Privacy Implications of Pervasive Memory Augmentation

Traditional research into memory-augmentation devices has focused on privacy concerns for third parties captured in video footage or for individuals wishing to anonymize their location traces. What about new security and privacy threats that aim to manipulate individuals' memories?

Technology fundamentally affects how and what humans remember, radically changing the nature and scale of the external cues we have available to help trigger recall. Such change is not new—it occurred as we transitioned from story-telling to written books, from paintings to photographs to digital images, and from individual diaries to collective social networks. However, in recent years, three separate strands of technology have developed that, collectively, open up entirely new ways of augmenting human memory:

- The near-continuous collection of memory cues has become possible using technologies such as Microsoft's SenseCam,¹ social networks, and interaction logs

complex networks by others.

- The presence of the environment such as Google Maps provides opportunities for digital recall.

Consequently, pervasive sensing to capture about an individual and then to use it to trigger the recall of temporary psychological traces can then be used to attenuate human memory of new applications. New devices could emerge with security concerns.

Traditional research has primarily focused on third parties capturing individuals wishing to anonymize their location traces. Here, we

Additional Resources .. contd

RECALL team members have published results of the project across several high profile venues, often with a view towards making results accessible across disciplines. A good overview of the challenges in the space can be found in:

Davies, N., A. Friday, S. Clinch, C. Sas, M. Langheinrich, G. Ward, and A. Schmidt. 2015. January. "Security and Privacy Implications of Pervasive Memory Augmentation." IEEE Pervasive Computing Special Issue on Pervasive Security and Privacy, January. <http://recall-fet.eu/wp-content/uploads/2014-Davies-RecallSecurityPrivacy-IEEEPervasive.pdf>

For more publications, see <http://recall-fet.eu/publications/>

Nigel Davies, Adrian Friday,
Sarah Clinch, and Corina Sas
Lancaster University

Marc Langheinrich
Università della Svizzera Italiana
(USI)

Geoff Ward
University of Essex

Albrecht Schmidt
University of Stuttgart

Further Information

The ICT 2015 networking session on Augmented Human Cognition was organised by members of the RECALL project, which has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 612933. The project coordinator, Prof. Nigel Davies from the University of Lancaster, UK, can be reached at nigel@comp.lancs.ac.uk. The project maintains a web page and a blog at www.recall-fet.eu



Collected PhD Project Ideas

- Intelligence at the edge
- Augmenting cognition in persons with intellectual disabilities, especially autism, using novel memory ICT
- Controlling the augmentation - tagging, valuing, and forgetting
- Age-related changes in the positivity effect using augmented cognition
- Mediating between in-memory and out-of-memory: visualising todos and augmenting memory
- What do people think about? Augmentation for 'memory' analytics of human memory
- Dealing with emotional cues - using emotional memories to help people suffering from Alzheimer
- Erasing traumatic memories affecting human personality
- Shared memories, reflection, and emotional well-being
- Cross-context, cross-mode, cross media situative cognition - Considering the situation, its contents, and the media through which it is perceived; personalised and situation-aware
- Support decision-making in real-time via data visualisation of complex information sets

Appendix 1

Collected PhD Project Ideas

- The proximity opportunity: social and cultural memory lane of nations
- How can memory be enhanced without just distributing memory digitally
- Behavioural changes into an energy efficiency context
- How the brain learns (or forgets) habits
- Bringing the unconscious to conscious
- Enhancing human ability to predict the future (short-term), i.e. extending working memory
- How can we measure capacity building in a Fab Lab?
- How to use other cues (smell, sound)
- Short term future events
- Affect - how I feel about the presentation of my memories
- Improving customer service and sales with more accurate recall of customer data
- Augmenting human memory through multimodal interaction
- Developing a way to make the techies think differently and really understand human cognition

Appendix 1

Collected PhD Project Ideas

- Augmenting professional relationships (LinkedIn / Facebook / ResearchGate -- what's missing?)
- Supporting and making decisions
- Investigate how memories (cognition) correlates with smells and how it could be used to facilitate in diseases like Alzheimer's.
- Helping the elderly to live longer at home (independent living)
- Brain in the computer 10 years
- Help ageing people living better by preventing falls with exercises: physical and mental (senses like smell and sound)
- Context-aware augmented cognition
- Personalised social augmented reality
- Augmenting cognition to evaluate cognitive load of disabled people

Appendix 1

